

PETER DIXON, SB# 39063
 ALEX GRAFT, SB# 239647
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
 One Sansome Street, Suite 1400
 San Francisco, California 94104
 Telephone: (415) 362-2580
 Facsimile: (415) 434-0882

Attorneys for Defendants PRETI FLAHERTY
 BELIEVEAU PACHIOS & HALEY, LLP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IAN INMAN, AN INDIVIDUAL; RICHARD
 INMAN, AN INDIVIDUAL; DIANE INMAN,
 AN INDIVIDUAL; AND JUNGLE PULSE,
 INC., A CALIFORNIA CORP.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JUNGLE QUEST FRANCHISING, LLC,
 WILLIAM E. GABBARD, KEVIN P. HEIN,
 JAMES S. MOLLOY, DOUGLAS W. ROOT,
 AND PRETI FLAHERTY BELIEVEAU
 PACHIOS & HALEY, LLP,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C09 5584

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER
 FOR CONDITIONAL DISMISSAL AND
 VACATION OF ALL PENDING CASE
 MANAGEMENT DEADLINES**

FILE DATE: November 24, 2009
 TRIAL DATE: Not Set Yet

Hon. Claudia Wilkin

TO THIS HONORABLE COURT:

SUBJECT TO COURT APPROVAL, Plaintiffs IAN INMAN, RICHARD INMAN,
 DIANE INMAN, and JUNGLE PULSE, INC. ("PLAINTIFFS"), and Defendant PRETI,
 FLAHERTY, BELIEVEAU, PACHIOS & HALEY, LLP ("PRETI") (collectively "the Parties"),
 through their respective attorneys of record, HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:

1 WHEREAS, PLAINTIFFS filed their Complaint in this Court on November 24, 2009
2 (“Complaint”) alleging causes of action for violations of the California franchise investment law
3 and the California unfair business practices law, fraud and deceit, negligent misrepresentation,
4 breach of contract, and for declaratory relief against Defendants JUNGLE QUEST
5 FRANCHISING LLC, WILLIAM E. GABBARD, KEVIN P. HEIN, JAMES S. MOLLOY, and
6 DOUGLAS W. ROOT (collectively “JUNGLE QUEST”) and causes of action for negligence,
7 negligent misrepresentation, unfair business practices and declaratory relief against PRETI.

8 WHEREAS, the PLAINTIFFS and JUNGLE QUEST have reached an agreement to
9 settle the causes of action asserted by PLAINTIFFS against JUNGLE QUEST in the Complaint
10 and that settlement is contingent upon JUNGLE QUEST’s payment of certain settlement
11 proceeds and certain other conditions.

12 WHEREAS, the PLAINTIFFS and PRETI have also reached an agreement in which the
13 Plaintiffs will conditionally dismiss their action against PRETI, which dismissal will become
14 final on the settlement with JUNGLE QUEST becoming final.

15 WHEREAS the Parties have agreed to a conditional dismissal of this matter without
16 prejudice. This dismissal is subject to the following alternative conditions:

- 17 (1) Should the settlement between PLAINTIFFS and JUNGLE QUEST conclude,
18 PLAINTIFFS will file a stipulation for dismissal of its causes of action against
19 PRETI upon receipt of the settlement proceeds in accordance with the terms of
20 the settlement agreement with JUNGLE QUEST;
- 21 (2) Should the settlement between PLAINTIFFS and JUNGLE QUEST fail, the case
22 as between PLAINTIFFS and PRETI shall be reinstated to the Court’s docket
23 within 60 days following this Court’s entry of its order approving this
24 Stipulation.

25 WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to vacate current response deadlines and all other
26 case management deadlines. Should the settlement fail, the case as between PLAINTIFFS and
27 PRETI shall be reinstated to the Court’s docket pursuant to the conditions described above, at

28 stipulation and [proposed] order for conditional
dismissal and vacation of all pending case
management deadlines

1 which time the Parties will jointly contact the Court to re-set the response deadline and all other
2 necessary case management deadlines.

3
4 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

5
6 DATED: April 20, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

7 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

8 By 

Alex Graft
Attorneys for Preti

9
10
11 DATED: April 20, 2010

LAGARIAS & BOULTER, LLP

12
13 By 

Robert S. Boulter
Attorneys for Plaintiffs IAN INMAN, RICHARD
INMAN, DIANE INMAN, AND JUNGLE PULSE, INC.

14
15
16
17
18 **ORDER**

19 Having considered the foregoing Stipulation, and good cause appearing therefore **IT IS**
20 **HEREBY ORDERED** that:

21 1. The Stipulation for Conditional Dismissal and Vacation of all Pending Case
22 Management Deadlines between Defendant PRETI, FLAHERTY, BELIEVEAU,
23 PACHIOS & HALEY, LLP, on the one hand, and Plaintiffs IAN INMAN, RICHARD
24 INMAN, DIANE INMAN, and JUNGLE PULSE, INC. (collectively "PLAINTIFFS"), on the
25 other hand, is **GRANTED**.

26 2. All pending case management and other deadlines are hereby **VACATED**.

27 3. In the event the Parties do not file a Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice
28 dismissing PLAINTIFFS' causes of action against PRETI within 60 days following the entry of
stipulation and [proposed] order for conditional
dismissal and vacation of all pending case
management deadlines

1 this ORDER, the case as between PLAINTIFFS and PRETI shall be reinstated, and the Parties
2 shall jointly contact the Court to re-set PRETI's response deadline and all other case
3 management deadlines.

4
5 Dated: 4/22/2010

6 
7 Hon. Claudia Wilkin

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
stipulation and [proposed] order for conditional
dismissal and vacation of all pending case
management deadlines

FEDERAL COURT PROOF OF SERVICE
Inman, et al. v. Jungle Quest Franchising, LLC, et al.
 U.S.D.C., Northern District, Case No. C 09 5584

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to the action. My business address is One Sansome Street, Suite 1400, San Francisco, California 94104. I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

On April 21, 2010, I served the following document(s): **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR CONDITIONAL DISMISSAL AND VACATION OF ALL PENDING CASE MANAGEMENT DEADLINES**

I served the documents on the following persons at the following addresses (including fax numbers and e-mail addresses, if applicable):

Counsel for Plaintiffs

Robert S. Boulter, Esq.
 Peter C. Lagarias, Esq.
 LAGARIAS & BOULTER, LLP
 1629 Fifth Avenue
 San Rafael, CA 94901-1828
 T: (415) 460-0100; F: (415) 460-1099
rsb@lb-attorneys.com
pcl@lb-attorneys.com

Counsel for Defendants JUNGLE QUEST FRANCHISING LLC, WILLIAM E.

GABBARD, KEVIN P. HEIN, JAMES S. MOLLOY and DOUGLAS W. ROOT

Sean Baker, Esq.
 Andrew Unthank, Esq.
 Wheeler, Trigg, O'Donnell, LLP
 1801 California Street, Suite 3600
 Denver, CO 80202-2617
 T: (303) 244-1600; F: (303) 244-1879
baker@wtotrial.com
unthank@wtotrial.com

The documents were served by the following means:

☒ (BY U.S. MAIL) I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed above and (specify one):

☐ Deposited the sealed envelope or package with the U.S. Postal Service, with the postage fully prepaid.

☒ Placed the envelope or package for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, on the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the U.S. Postal Service, in a sealed envelope of package with the postage fully prepaid.

☒ (BY COURT'S CM/ECF SYSTEM) Pursuant to Local Rule, I electronically filed the documents with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which sent notification of that filing to the persons listed above.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the above is true and correct.

Executed on April 21, 2010, at San Francisco, California.


 Nancy Lew-Pham